

Contents lists available at BioMedSciDirect Publications

International Journal of Biological & Medical Research



Journal homepage: www.biomedscidirect.com

## **Original article**

# Size of cervical vertebral canal -measurements in lateral cervical radiographs & dried bones

## KK Gour<sup>a\*</sup>, SK Shrivastava<sup>b</sup>, AE Thakare<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>\*Department of Anatomy, L.N. Medical College, Bhopal, (M.P.) <sup>b</sup>Department of Anatomy, N.S.C.B. Medical College, Jabalpur, (M.P.) <sup>c</sup>Department of Physiology, L.N. Medical College, Bhopal, (M.P.)

ARTICLEINFO

Keywords: Cervical vertebral canal Cervical radiographs Torg's ratio

## ABSTRACT

In this study we have determined the size of cervical vertebral canal in adult population around Jabalpur and correlate the findings with those of other workers. Mid sagittal diameter of spinal canal and anteroposterior diameter of vertebral body were measured with spreading callipers and Vernier calipers in 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th cervical vertebrae in 100 radiographs as well as 100 sets of dried cervical vertebrae. The values of mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal are higher in lateral cervical radiographs than those in dried bones, but there is no significant difference between the values of Torg's ratio in radiographs and dried bones. The values are compared with observations of other workers. The importance of Torg's ratio in lateral cervical radiographs for determining the stenosis of cervical vertebral canal is confirmed. The values of mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal in lateral cervical radiographs and dried bones are larger than those in Japanese.

© Copyright 2010 BioMedSciDirect Publications IJBMR -ISSN: 0976:6685. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Pynae & Spillene 1956, Murone 1974 measured cervical vertebral canal & found narrower canal diameter in spondylosis cases [1-14]. Various authors have reported different measurements in radiographs. A ratio method was devised by Torg in 1986. Blackley in 1999 conducted same study on anatomical specimens. Available normal values are mainly from western population. This study was carried out in normal adult population in and around Jabalpur.

Aims and objectives of this study were following -

- 1. To determine the mid sagittal antero posterior diameter of cervical spinal canal.
- 2. To determine the normal range of cervical spinal canal / vertebral body ratio (Torg's ratio).
- 3. To correlate the findings on dried cervical vertebrae and lateral cervical radiographs

## 2. Methods

- \*100 lateral cervical radiographs,
- \*100 sets of dried cervical vertebrae.

Measurements were taken in 3rd , 4th , 5th & 6th cervical vertebrae both in the radiographs as well as dried bones.

- 1. Mid sagittal diameter of vertebral canal (A).
- 2. Anteroposterior diameter of vertebral body (B). 3. Torg's ratio (A / B)

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Measurements in lateral cervical radiographs

Mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal - The mean values were 17.46 (± 2.11) mm, 17.38 (± 1.98) mm, 17.62 (± 2.03) mm and 17.95 (±2.02) mm respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

Anteroposterior diameter of cervical vertebral body - The mean values were 15.86 (± 2.83) mm, 18.09 (± 2.24) mm, 18.54 (± 2.34) mm and 19.11 (± 2.27) mm respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

Torg's ratio – The mean values were  $1.13 (\pm 0.23), 0.96 (\pm 0.07),$ 0.95 (± 0.07) and 0.94 (± 0.07) respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author : Dr. K.K.Gour

Department of Anatomy,

L.N. Medical College, Bhopal, (M.P.)

E.mail: drkkgour@gmail.com

<sup>©</sup>Copyright 2010 BioMedSciDirect Publications. All rights reserved.

### 3.2. Measurements in Dried cervical vertebrae

Mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal – The mean values were 14.38 ( $\pm$  1.43) mm, 14.40 ( $\pm$  1.31) mm, 14.36 ( $\pm$  1.32) mm and 14.55 ( $\pm$  1.21) mm respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

Anteroposterior diameter of cervical vertebral body - The mean values were 13.83 ( $\pm$  1.50) mm, 14.15 ( $\pm$  1.63) mm, 14.35 ( $\pm$  1.68) mm and 14.55 ( $\pm$  1.51) mm respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

Torg's ratio – The mean values were 1.04 ( $\pm$  0.34), 1.02 ( $\pm$  0.12), 1.01 ( $\pm$  0.13) and 1.01 ( $\pm$  0.13) respectively at 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th cervical vertebral levels.

# Table 1 - Mid sagittal diameter (In m.m.) of cervical vertebral canal in radiographs

Parameter	C3	C4	C5	C6
Mean	16.69	16.65	16.90	17.05
±S.D.	±1.67	±1.71	±1.63	±1.56
Minimum	14.2	13.2	13.9	13.2
Maximum	20.4	20.3	20.0	20.2
Mean	18.46	18.33	18.56	19.10
±S.D.	±2.22	±1.91	±2.13	±1.96
Minimum	15.0	14.3	14.6	14.7
Maximum	25.4	22.1	24.7	25.41
Mean	17.46	17.38	17.62	7.95
±S.D.	±2.11	±1.98	±2.03	±2.02
Minimum	14.2	13.2	13.9	13.2
Maximum	25.4	22.1	24.7	25.4

Table 2- Antero-posterior diameter (In m.m.) of cervical vertebralbody in radiographs

Parameter	C3	C4	C5	C6	
Mean	15.86	18.09	18.54	19.11	
±S.D	±2.83	±2.24	±2.34	±2.27	
Minimum	10.7	11.9	12.7	12.4	
Minimum	23.3	23.2	27.0	28.0	

### Table 3- Torg's ratio in radiographs

Parameter	С3	<b>C4</b>	C5	C6	
Mean	1.13	0.96	0.95	0.94	
±S.D.	±0.23	±0.07	±0.07	±0.07	
Minimum	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.81	
Maximum	1.95	1.19	1.28	1.31	

Table 4 - Mid sagittal diameter (In m.m.) of cervical vertebral canal in dried bones

Parameter	С3	<b>C4</b>	C5	C6	
Mean	14.38	14.4	14.36	14.55	
±S.D.	±1.43	±1.31	±1.32	±1.21	
Minimum	11.2	11.3	12.0	12.2	
Maximum	19.0	18.6	17.8	18.4	

Table 5- Antero-posterior diameter (In m.m.) of cervical vertebralbody in dried bones

Parameter	C3	C4	C5	C6	
Mean	13.83	14.15	14.35	14.55	
±S.D.	±1.50	±1.63	±1.68	±1.51	
Minimum	10.7	10.0	9.3	11.4	
Maximum	17.6	20.0	19.0	18.9	

## Table 6 - Torg's ratio in dried bones

Parameter	C3	C4	C5	C6	
Mean	1.04	1.02	1.01	1.01	
±S.D.	±0.34	±0.12	±0.13	±0.13	
Minimum	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	
Maximum	1.43	1.45	1.40	1.42	

### 4.Discussion

Cervical spondylosis, a progressive and disabling disease of later life, is associated with degenerative changes and other complicating features like osteophyte formation or a herniated intervertebral disc. Acute traumatic lesions of the cervical spine are recognised as common cause of brachial neurapraxia in young athletes [15]. Patients attending outpatient department in hospitals for symptoms related to involvement of cervical segments of spinal cord form a large number. Sensory symptoms in the area of distribution of branches of brachial plexus are the commonest presenting complaint in these patients. Therefore radiologists have always taken interest in knowing about all the factors which can cause pressure on the spinal cord and the nerve roots [16-29].

A number of workers in various countries have attempted to know the anatomical basis of these disorders and to confirm the changes seen in the diameter of cervical vertebral canal in plain lateral radiographs by other special investigations like myelography, CT scan, MRI etc. They have also given data for the dimensions of the cervical vertebral canal in normal individuals, which could be used as a reference while evaluating a patient.

### 5.Conclusions

The values of mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal are higher in lateral cervical radiographs than those in dried bones, but there is no significant difference between the values of Torg's ratio in lateral cervical radiographs and dried bones. Thus the importance of Torg's ratio in lateral cervical radiographs for determining the stenosis of cervical vertebral canal is confirmed.

The values of mid sagittal diameter of cervical vertebral canal in lateral cervical radiographs and dried bones are larger than those in Japanese.

### Acknowledgement

We are immensely grateful to Dr. A. K. Sharma, Professor and Head of department of Radio diagnosis, N.S.C.B. Medical College and Hospital, Jabalpur for his generous help, valuable advices and inspiring guidance. We are thankful to Mr. A. Kavishwar (Biostatistician) I.C.M.R. Jabalpur for his immense co-operation.

### 6. References

- Maqbool A, Zubia A, Laiq H. Mid sagittal diameter of cervical spinal canal and Torg's ratio of the cervical spine in Pakistanis. Pak J Med Sci. 2003; 19(3): 203-210.
- [2] Malzac A, Eloy Persoa T, Filho B. Morphometry of the spinal canal at cervical region in asymptomatic military young men. Acta ortop Bras. 2002; 10:4.
- [3] Blackley HR, Plank LD, Robertson PA. Determining the sagittal dimensions of the canal of the cervical spine. The reliability of ratios of anatomical measurements. J Bone Joint Surg. (Br.) 1999;81(3): 559-560.
- [4] Chen IH, Liao KK, Shen WY. Measurement of cervical canal sagittal diameter in Chinese males with cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Zhonghua Yi, Rue Za Zhi (Taipei). 1994; 54(2): 105-110.
- [5] Sullivan FJE, Morris Henry. P. Blakiston's Son and Co, 1914.
- [6] Gupta SK, Roy RC, Srivastava A. Sagittal diameter of the cervical canal in normal Indian adults. Cli Radiol. 1982; 33(b): 681-685.
- [7] Madhur G, Veena B, Bhargava SK, Nidhi A. Size of vertebral canal A correlative study of measurements in radiographs and dried bones. J of ASI. 1998; 47:1-6.
- [8] Sinsuke H, Yasuji K. Sex discrepancy in the canal / body ratio of the cervical spine implicating the prevalence of cervical myelopathy in men. Spine. 2002; 27 (3):250-253.
- [9] Kang JD, Mark P, Figgie MD, Henry H. Bohlman MD, Ohio C. Sagittal measurements of the cervical spine in subaxial fracture and dislocations. J Bone Joint Surg. 1994; 76(11):1617-1628.
- [10] Lang J. Skeletal system of cervical spine-measurements. Clinical anatomy of cervical spine. 1993; 51-81.
- [11] Katz PR, Reynolds HM, Foust DR, Baum JK. Mid sagittal dimensions of cervical vertebral bodies. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1975;43(3): 319-326.
- [12] Kim KY, Song BH. Adiological measurements of cervical spinal canal in normal Korean adults. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 1975; 4(2): 239-246.
- [13] Kothari P, Freeman B, Grevitt M, Kerslake R. Injury to the spinal cord without radiological abnormality (SCIWORA) in adults. Ladd AL, Scranton P.E.Congenital cervical stenosis presenting as transient quadriplegia in athletes. J Bone Joint Surg. (Am.) 1986;68:1371-1374.
- [14] Lu J, Ebraheim NA, Yang H, Rollins J, Yeasting RA. Anatomic basis for anterior spinal surgery-Surgical anatomy of the and disc space.J. Surgical and Radiological anatomy. 1999;21:235-239.
- [15] Murone I, Niigata city, Japan. The importance of the sagittal diameter of the cervical spinal canal in relation to spondylosis and myelopathy. J Bone Joint Surgery. 1974; 56-B: 30-36.

- [16] Nakstad P. Myelographic findings in cervical spines without degenerative changes. Journal of neuroradiology. 1987; 29:256-258.
- [17] Penning L. Normal movements of cervical spine. Am J Roentgenol. 1978 ;130:317-326.
- [18] Tierney RT, Maldjiant C, Mattacola CG, Straub, Michael SJ, Sitler R. Cervical spine stenosis measures in normal subjects. J of athletic traing. 2002; 37(2): 190-193.
- [19] Sasaki T, Kadoya S, Iizuka H. Roentgenological study of the sagittal diameter of the cervical spinal canal in normal adult Japanese. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo). 1998; 38(2): 83-88.
- [20] Schneider RC, Reifel E, Crisler HO, Oosterbaan BG. Serious and fatal football injuries involving the head and spinal cord. JAMA. 1961;177:362-367.
- [21] Senol U, Cubuk M, Sindel M, Yildirim F, Yilmaz S, Ozkaynak C, Luleci E. Anteroposterior diameter of the vertebral canal in cervical region: comparision of anatomical, computed tomographic and plain film measurements. Cli Anat. 2001; 14(1): 15-18.
- [22] Tauka H, Nakamura K, Kurukawa T, Kobayashi M, Machida H, Izuka Y, Hoshino Tsuyama N, Hatsuyama Y. Roentgenological measurements of the cervical vertebral bodies in ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament and cervical spondylosis. Nippon Seikeigeka Gakkai Zasshi. 1981;55(7): 635-645.
- [23] Torg JS, Truex RC, Marshal J, Nicholas CE. Spinal injury at the level of third and fourth cervical vertebrae from football. J Bone Joint Surgery (Am.).1977;59:1015-1019.
- [24] Torg JS, Vegson JJ, Sennett B, Das M. The national football head and neck injury registry – 14 year report on cervical quadriplegia, 1971 through 1984. JAMA.1985;254:3439-3443.
- [25] Torg JS, Helen P, Genuario SE, Ronald J. Wisneski MD, Robie H. Neurapraxia of the cervical spinal cord with transient quadriplegia. JBJS. 1986; 68 A: 1354-1370.
- [26] Torg JS, Corcoran TA, Thibault LE, Pavlov H, Sennett BJ, Niranja J, Priano S. Cervical cord neurapraxia – classification, patrhomechanics, morbidity and management guidelines. J Neurosurg. 1997; 87:843-850.
- [27] Torg JS, Niranja RJ, Pavlov H, Galinat BJ, Stine RA. Correspondence to the editor. J.Bone Joint Surg. (Am.). 1998;80:1554-1555.
- [28] Wilkinson HA, Le May ML, Ferris EJ. Roentgenographic correlation in cervical spondylosis. AJR. 1969; 105: 370-374.
- [29] Williams PL, Bannister LH, Berry MM, Collins P, Dyson M, Dussek IE. Gray's Anatomy, 38th Ed., Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, 1995;pp533.

© Copyright 2010 BioMedSciDirect Publications IJBMR -ISSN: 0976:6685. All rights reserved.

### 780