

Contents lists available at BioMedSciDirect Publications

# **International Journal of Biological & Medical Research**

Journal homepage: www.biomedscidirect.com



## **Short report**

# Otoplasty; Our Experience with Surgery of the Auricle

Motassim AL-roosan, \* Sohaib Al-Momani

Department of otolaryngology, King Hussein Medical Center, (KHMC),

#### ARTICLEINFO

#### Keywords: Otoplasty Cartilage Anatomical

#### ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study is to high light on otoplasty technique that recreates the normal appearance of the ear with minimal incision, short time, & excellent result. Methods: This is prospective study carried out on 29 patient (10 female, 19 male) with age between 5-7 years (mean age  $5\,6/12$  year) reported as acase of bat ear in otolaryngology clinics at royal medical services hospitals in Jordan over 3-years (2010-2013). Results: Most patients' family appreciate the results of surgery when the bandage is removed & otoplasty cartilage splitting technique improve the appearance of the patient with exellent results & minimal complication. Conclusion: Otoplasty cartilage splitting technique appears to be simple, effective in attempting to recreate the normal, natural & anatomical appearance of the ear.

© Copyright 2010 BioMedSciDirect Publications IJBMR -ISSN: 0976:6685. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Auricle is developed inutero from week 6- week 16 from first & second branchial arch, it is shaped at birth & it is nearly adult size by age 5-6 years.

Pinna is composed of thin plate of fibro elastic cartilage covered with skin except at the lobule, skin of pinna is thin & closely adherent to perichondrium on the lateral surface, number of muscle is inserted upon cartilage &most of them are rudimentary, blood supply is derived via posterior auricular & super facial temporal arteries, where sensory innervations is derived via V3, C3, X, VII, Lymphatic drainage into pre auricular, occipital, &high jugularlymph nodes.

Protruding ears are genetically transmitted as autosomal dominant with variable peneterance, generally they have normal hearing & other congenital anomalies may be present.

Otoplasty is indicated for recreation the normal appearance for those protrude > 20 mm & angle > 35° from occipital scalp & it is contra indicated in those with un realistic expectation & unable to cooperate with postoperative care.

\* Corresponding Author: Dr. Sohaib almomani Department of otolaryngology, King Hussein Medical Center, (KHMC), Email: almomani.sohaib@yahoo.com

©Copyright 2010 BioMedSciDirect Publications. All rights reserved.

Some of the Jordanian family applying tapes in early infancy to influence the growth &shape of the ear.

# 2. Materials & Methods:

Over period of two years between 2010-2013, prospective study was conducted at two of the royal medical services hospitals on 29 patients, 10 males & 19 females, with mean age 5 6/12 years, those with bat ears underwent otoplasty using cartilage splitting technique, the majority of the patients were below school age, the aim of restoration of anatomical balance is the goal of this technique & the possibility of intra operative & post operative complication were discussed with the families.

Pre operative management include medical history (excessive bleeding, poor wound healing, keloid forming scars), physical examination (asymmetries of the auricle, height should be 5-6 cm, long axis = 20 mm from vertical plane, angle from occipital scalp no greater than  $35^{\circ}$ , antihelix 75-105 angle between scaphoid fossa & concha), preoperative photography was taken in frontal, lateral & oblique position.

The most common deformity seen was under developed anti helix.

The technique include ellipse-shaped skin incision & excision lateral to the post auricular crease, antihelical fold is manually created, to medialize excessive projection of concha, medial surface

is shaved & 3- sutures are passed from conchal cartilage then directed posteriorly, then create appropriate anti helix, the sutured is tightened to desired effect, after the desired changes have been made to cartilaginous contour, skin closed using 6-0 prolene, mastoid type compressive dressing is placed (Fig 1,2), first day postoperative dressing are removed, inspect for any sign of hematoma, redressing, the same on the second day, sutures are removed on day seventh.

#### Normal Ranges of mini VIDAS Parameters

Sl No	Ref. Code	Items	Normal Ranges		
1	30210	Toxo Ig G	Titer (IU/ml) Interpretation  < 4 Negative  4 Equivocal  > 8 Positive		
2	30202	Toxo Ig M	Thresholds         interpretation of results           Index         Interpretation           i < 0.55		
3	30204	CMV Ig G	Value (aU/ml) Interpretation  < 4 Negative from > 4 to < 6 Equivocal > 6 Positive		
4	30205	CMV Ig M	Value (aU/ml) Interpretation  < 4 Negative from > 4 to < 6 Equivocal  > 6 Positive		
5	30221	RUB Ig GII	Titer Interpretation < 10 IU/ml Negative 10 < Titer < 15 IU/ml Equivocal > 15 IU/ml Positive		
6	30214	RUB Ig M	Index i Interpretation i < 0.80 Negative 0.80 < i < 1.20 Equivocal i > 1.20 Positive		
7	30443	HIV DUO ULTRA	Test value Interpreted result < 0.25 (for antigen and antibody detection) Negative > 0.25 (for antigen or antibody detection) Positive		
8	30447	HIV DUO QUICK	Test value Interpreted result < 0.25 (for antigen and antibody detection) Negative > 0.25 (for antigen or antibody detection) Positive		
9	30315	HBs Ag	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \textbf{Test value} & & & & & \\ \textbf{Short protocol} & & \textbf{Long protocol} & & \textbf{Interpretation} \\ \textbf{i} < 0.13 & & \textbf{i} < 0.10 & & \textbf{Negative} \\ \textbf{i} > 0.13 & & \textbf{i} > 0.10 & & \textbf{Positive} \\ \end{array} $		
10	30314	ANTI-HBC TOTAL	Index Interpretation i < 1 Presence of anti-HBc antibodies 1 < i < 1.4 Equivocal result i > 1.4 Absence of anti-HBc antibodies		
11	30238	ANTI -HBS TOTAL	TITER (mIU/ml) INTERPRETATION  < 8 Negative  8 ≤ Titer ≤ 12 Indeterminate result  > 12 Positive		
11	30312	ANTI_HAV TOTAL	Concentration Interpretation < 15 mIU/ml Negative > 15 and < 20 mIU/ml Borderline positive > 20 mIU/ml Positive		
12	30307	HAV IgM	Test Value   Interpretation   i < 0.4   Negative   i > 0.4 and i < 0.5   Equivocal**   i > 0.5   Positive		
13	30439	HBC IgM	Test Value (PEIU/ml)   Interpretation		

Sl No	Ref. Code	Items	Normal Ranges		
14	30305	Hbe/ANTI Hbe	Index Interpretation HBe Ag  i < 0.1 Negative: absence of HBe Ag  i > 0.1 Positive: presence of HBe Ag  Index Interpretation anti-HBe  i < 0.4 Positive: presence of anti-HBe  0.4 < i < 0.5 Equivocal  i > 0.5 Negative: absence of anti-HBe		
15	30406	LH	Men: 1.1 - 7.0 mIU/ml Women:  - Ovulation peak 9.6 - 80.0 mIU/ml  - Follicular phase: First half 1.5 - 8.0 mIU/ml Second half 2.0 - 8.0 mIU/ml  - Luteal phase 0.2 - 6.5 mIU/ml Menopause: 8.0 - 33.0 mIU/ml		
16	30407	FSH	Men:       1.7- 12.0 mIU/ml         Women:       - Ovulation peak       6.3 - 24.0 mIU/ml         - Follicular phase:       1st half       3.9 - 12.0 mIU/ml         2nd half       2.9 - 9.0 mIU/ml         - Luteal phase       1.5 - 7.0 mIU/ml         - Menopause:       17.0 - 95.0 mIU/ml		
17	30409	PROGESTERONE	Men       ≤ 0.25 - 0.56 ng/ml         Women:       . Follicular phase ≤ 0.25 - 0.54 ng/ml         . Luteal phase       1.5 - 20 ng/ml         . Ovulation       ≤ 0.25 - 6.22 ng/ml         . Menopause       < 0.41 ng/ml		
18	30410	PROLACTIN	Women         5-35 ng/ml           Men         3-25 ng/ml		
19	30405	НСG	men: <3 mIU/ml  Women - cyclic women: <4 mIU/ml - menopausal women: <13 mIU/ml - pregnant women:  Week of amenorrhoea Mean(mIU/ml) Limits (mIU/ml) 4 - 5		
20	30431	ESTRADIOL	Men < 62 pg/ml   Women :		

Sl No	Ref. Code	Items	Normal Ranges				
21	30418	TESTOSTERONE	<b>Cyclic women</b> : 0.1 - 0.9 ng/ml <b>Men</b> : 3.0 - 10.6 ng/ml				
22	30453	CEA S	0-3 ng/ml				
23	30413	AFP	0 -2 IU/ml				
24	30428	TPSA	PSA concentrations (r Age (years) Low limit < 40 0.21 40 - 49 0.27 50 - 59 0.27 60 - 69 0.22 > 69 0.21	1.72 2.19 3.42 6.16 6.77	igi	h limit	
25	30426	CA125	0 - 35 U/ml				
26	30427	CA 199	0 - 37 U/ml				
27	30429	CA 153	< 30 U/ml				
28	30440	F PSA	free PSA/TPSA > 0.18 BPH suspect (monitor closely) free PSA/TPSA ≤ 0.18 Biopsy required				
	30420	B2 MICROGLOBULIN	* Sera :  Age range  20 to 39 years  40 to 59 years  60 to 80 years	Mean value (mg/l) 1.77 1.59 2.28	Highest (mg/ 3.47 3.17	7 7	
			* Urine :  Age range  20 to 39 years  40 to 59 years  60 to 80 years	Most Frequen (mg/l) 0.01 0.05		Highest value (mg/l) 1.11 1.8 2.33	
29	30419	IgE	1-6 months <15 KIU/L 6-12 months <20 KIU/L 1-2 years <30 KIU/L 2-4 years <45 KIU/L 4-6 years <60 KIU/L 6-8 years <100 KIU/L 8 years and above <150 KIU/L				
30	30448	TROPONIN I ULTRA	< 0.11 ug/L NEGATIVE > 0.11 ug/L POSITIVE				
31	30446	MYOGLOBIN	10 - 46 μg/l.				
32	30421	CK MB	0 – 5 ng/ml				
33	30449	NT PRO BNP					
34	30603	DIGOXIN	Toxic range >	0.8 – 2.0 ng/ml - 2.5 ng/ml			
35	30442	D DIMER	< 500 ng/ml Positive > 500 ng/ml Negative				
36	30450	B.R.A.H.M.S PCT	<pre>&lt; 0.05 ng/ml Healthy 0.05 - 0.5 ng/ml Local Infections 0.5 - 2.0 ng/ml Systemic Infection ( Sepsis) 2.0 - 10.0 ng/ml Severe Sepsis &gt; 10 ng/ml Septic Shock</pre>				
37	30451	CORTISOL S	morning (8-10 a.m.) 54.94 – 287.56 ng/mL. afternoon (4-7 p.m.) 24.61 – 171.52 ng/mL.				
38	30411	FERRITIN	Men: 68 - 434 ng/ml  Normal menstruating women: 9.3 - 159 ng/ml  Menopausal women: 24.4 - 278 ng/ml				
39	30115	PROTEIN C	65 - 140 %.				
40	30436	vWF	- for O blood group donors, 52 - 154%. - for non-O blood group donors, 60 - 200%.				

SI No	Ref. Code	Items	Normal Ranges
41	30192	H. PYLORI IgG	Test ValueThreshold Interpretation $TV < 0.75$ Negative $0.75 \le 2TV < 1.00$ Equivocal $TV \ge 1.00$ Positive
42	30118	C. DIFFICILE TOXIN A & B	Test ValueResult $< 0.13$ Negative $\ge 0.13$ to $< 0.37$ Equivocal $\ge 0.37$ Positive
43	30219	MEASLES IgG	Test Value         Result           < 0.13
44	30218	MUMPS IgG	Test value Interpretation < 0.35 Negative ≥ 20.35 to < 0.50 Equivocal > 0.50 Positive
45	30192	VARICELLA – ZOSTER IgG	Test value Interpretation < 0.35 Negative ≥ 20.35 to < 0.50 Equivocal > 0.50 Positive
46	30101	CHLAMYDIA	Test value threshold Interpretation  < 60 Negative  > 60 to < 80 Equivocal  > 80 Positive
47	30235	EBV EBNA IgG	Test Value (TV)Interpretation $\leq 0.09$ Negative $0.10 \leq VT \leq 0.20$ Equivocal $\geq 0.21$ Positive
48	30236	EBV VCA/EA IgG	Test Value (TV)Results $\leq 0.09$ Negative $0.10 \leq VT \leq 0.20$ Equivocal $\geq 0.21$ Positive
49	30237	EBV VCA IgM	Test Value (TV)Results $\leq 0.11$ Negative $0.12 \leq VT \leq 0.18$ Equivocal $\geq 0.19$ Positive
50	30107	ROTA VIRUS	Test Value Threshold Interpretation < 95 Negative > 95 to < 300 Equivocal > 300 Positive

#### Results & discussion:

- \*Incomplete correction of the prominent ears occurs in 2/29 as follow:
- \_Telephone ear 1/29 (correction mid portion more than superior & inferior poles).
- \_ Reverse telephone ear 1/29 (inadequate medialization central portion).
- \*Over correction occurs in 1/29.
- \*Hematoma occurs in 0/29.
- \*Seroma occurs in 0/29.
- \*Chondritis occurs in 0/29.
- \*Suture Bridge occurs in 1/29.
- \*Hyper trophic scar occurs in 1/29.
- \*Keloid scar occurs in 0/29.

#### **Conclusion:**

Otoplasty cartilage splitting technique appears to be simple, effective in attempt to recreate the normal, natural, & anatomical appearance of the ears.

Most patients & families appreciate the results of surgery as soon as the bandage is removed.

## References:

- Luckett WH, Anew operation for prominent ears based on the anatomy of the deformity. Surg Gynecol obst 1910, 10:635-637.
- [2] Wright WK: otoplasty goals &principles. Arch otolaryngolology 1970 Dec; 92(6): 568-572
- [3] Stenstorm SJ: Anatural technique for correction of congenitally prominent ears. Plast Reconstr Surg 1963; 32:509-517.
- [4] Converse, J.M. and Wood smith, D.1963, technical details in the surgical correction of the lop ear deformity. Plastic & Reconstr Surgery, 31,118-128
- [5] Davis, J. (1987) Aesthetic & Reconstruction Otoplasty. Berlin, Springer.
- [6] Furnase, D.W (1968) Correction of prominent ears by concha-mastoid sutures. Plastic & Reconstruct surgery. 42.189-193.
- [7] Mustarde.J.C (1963). The correction of prominent ears using simple mattress suture. British Journal of Plastic Surgery.16.170-176.

- [8] Rogers, B.O (1968) Microtic, lop, cup, &protruding ears, Plastic &Reconstructive Surgery, 41,208-231.
- [9] Walker, C. (1972) Correction of deformities of the auricle. Archives of otolaryngology, 202, 203-228.
- $[10] \quad Romo\ T\ 3rd, Sclafani\ AP, Shapiro\ AL, Otoplast\ using\ the\ post\ auricular\ skin flap\ technique.\ Arch\ Otolaryngology\ Head\ \&\ Neck\ Surg\ 1994\ Oct; \\ 120(10):1146-1150.$