

Contents lists available at BioMedSciDirect Publications

International Journal of Biological & Medical Research

Journal homepage: www.biomedscidirect.com



Original Article

A comparative clinical study of different doses of esmolal to attenuate the pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation'

^aDr. Suresh S.B., ^bDr.Ashwini

^aAssociate Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesia, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Institute, Chikkalasandra, Bangalore ^bAssistant Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesia, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Institute, Chikkalasandra, Bangalore

ARTICLEINFO

Keywords: Esmolol Intubation Laryngoscopy Pressor response

ABSTRACT

Aims and objective: The study is designed to determine the effective bolous dose of esmolol which would attenuate the pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. Study design: This study was conducted in the department of anaesthesia in association with department of orthopaedics, surgery and gynecology from September 2011 to April 2013. This study was done to determine the effective bolous doses of esmolol which would attenuates the pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in the study population consisted of 100 patients who were randomly divided into four groups of 25 patients each. Results: In our study all the groups in which esmolol was used showed a decrease in mean value of systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure after administration of drug. The reduction is marked in esmolol 150 mg group and less in esmolol 50 mg group. Conclusion: All the groups in which esmolol was used showed a decrease in heart rate and blood pressure. The reduction is marked in esmolol 150 mg group, less in esmolol 50 mg group, optimal in 100 mg group. So it is concluded that esmolol 100 mg is near an ideal drug for attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

 $\textcircled{O} \ Copyright\ 2010\ BioMedSciDirect\ Publications\ IJBMR\ -ISSN:\ 0976:6685.\ All\ rights\ reserved.$

1. Introduction

Laryngoscopy and intubation are mandatory for most patients undergoing surgery under general anaesthesia, which are invariably associated with certain cardiovascular changes such as tachycardia, rise in blood pressure and wide variety of cardiac arrhythmias1. These effects are deleterious in susceptible individuals culminates as in perioperative myocardial ischemia, acute heart failure and cerebrovascular accidents^{2,3}.

Esmolol is a cardioselective -1 adrenergic blocking agent. It has rapid onset and short duration of action with a elimination half life of 9 minutes. It is an ester and is rapidly metabolized by esterase in the blood to a free acid metabolite that has beta

adrenergic potency of 1/1600 of esmolol. Its kinetics are therefore suited to a relatively short application without causing prolonged bradycardia or hypotension and it has been shown to be effective in attenuates the pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation^{4,5,6,7}.

Associate Professor, Dept. of Anaesthesia Sapthagiri Medical Col

Sapthagiri Medical College & Hospital Chikkasandra, Bangalore.

Email: drsuresh_1976@yahoo.co.in

Mobile: 9886814572

The present study is designed to determine the effective bolus dose of esmolol which would attenuate the pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation.

2. Materials and methods:

This randomized prospective study to compare the attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation with different doses of esmolol was conducted in the department of anaesthesia in association with department of orthopaedics, gynaecology and general surgery at Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Science and Research Centre from September 2011 to April 2013.

The study population consisted of 100 patients who were randomly divided into 4 groups of 25 patients each:

Group-A received normal saline

Group-B received esmolol 50 mg

Group-C received esmolol $100\,mg$

Group-D received esmolol 150 mg

^{*} Corresponding Author: Dr. Suresh S.B.

Inclusion criteria:

All patients above 18 years.

Patients belonging to American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status I and II.

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients with conduction block, cardiac arrhythmias.
- · Patients with congestive cardiac failure.
- · Patients with history of bronchial asthma.
- · Patients on beta blocker treatment.
- Patients with anticipated difficult airway.

Methodology:

Patients selected for the study undergone adequate general physical examination and systemic examination was done to confirm the previously mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria.

All patients received alprozoam 0.5mg and ranitidine 150 mg orally on the night before surgery.

They were randomly allocated into 4 groups. All patients were premedicated with Inj glycopyrrolate 0.2mg and Inj fentanyl 1 gm kg1 intravenously 10 minutes before surgery.

Baseline reading of heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure were recorded.

All patients were preoxygenated with 100% oxygen for 3 minutes.

The study group received either 10 ml normal saline, 50 mg esmolol, 100 mg esmolol, and 150 mg esmolol made to 10 ml with normal saline intravenous bolus over 10 seconds.

The heart rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were recorded and anesthesia was induced with Inj thiopentone sodium 5 mg kg1 and tracheal intubation facilitated with Inj succinyl choline 1.5 mg kg1.

Laryngoscopy and intubation performed by single investigator after 2 minutes of study drug administration.

Laryngoscopy was done using rigid laryngoscope with standard Macintosh blade. Intubation was done with appropriate sized, disposable, high volume low pressure cuffed endotracheal tube. Oral intubation was done for all surgical procedures. Laryngoscopy and intubation was done within 20 seconds.

The heart rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were recorded after induction , after intubation and thereafter every minute for $5\,\mathrm{minutes}$.

Anesthesia was maintained with $\rm O_2$ (33%), $\rm N_2O$ (67%), and intermittent atracumium 0.5mg kg1 and intermittent positive pressure ventilation.

The data was analysed and the results were considered significant when p value < 0.05.

COMPARISON OF SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE

Time of	Group A		Group B		Group C		Group D		P
asses	Mean±	%	Mean±	%	Mean±	%	Mean±	%	value
ment	SD	Diff	SD	Diff	SD	Diff	SD	Diff	
Base	129.44		128±		129.68±		131.44±		0.655
	±8.90		9.01		8.60		11.53		
Ind	128.72	-0.5	128.28±	0.2	127.88±	-1.3	124.20±	-5.5	0.487
	±10.19		12.12		9.70		13.45		
2 min	155.96	20.4	151.3	18.2	137.80±	6.2	129.20±	-1.7	0.000
	±8.41		2± 8.23		9.30		15.45		
3 min	160.04	23.6	153.56±	19.9	137.64±	6.1	129.20±	-1.8	0.000
	±6.15		8.30		11.34		15.41		
4 min	155±	19.7	148.72±	16.1	134.64±	3.8	127.72±	-2.8	0.000
	5.59		8.52		10.19		15.61		
5 min	149.48	15.4	143.76±	12.3	132.40±	2	i'126.16±	-4	0.000
	±6.39		8.39		9.23		16.14		

COMPARISON OF DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE

Time of assesment	Group A		Group B		Group C		Group D		P
	Mean	%	Mean	%	Mean	0/ D:66	Mean±	%	value
	± SD	Diff	±SD	Diff	±SD	% Diff	SD	Diff	
D	76.68±	-	75.24±	-	79.08±	-	79.76±	-	0.057
Base	5.66		4.59		6.18		8.32		0.057
T J	76.08±	-0.8	74.92±	-0.4	77.76±	-1.66	76.6±	-4	0.530
Ind	6.89		7.07		6.28		7.39		0.539
2 .	89.92±	17.2	88.12±	17.1	81.72±	3.3	80.04±	0.3	0.000
2 min	5.35		5.37		7.28		8.96		0.000
2 .	91.32±	19.1	88.84±	18	82.16±	3.8	77.84±	-2.5	0.000
3 min	4.76		5.36		6.88		10.45		0.000
4	89.76±	17	87.56±	16.3	80.96±	2.3	75.92±	-4.8	0.000
4 min	4.50	17	5.97		5.61		11.48		0.000
F	86.68±	4.0	84.62±	12.4	79.44±	0.5	76.84±	-4	0.000
5 min	4.70	13	5.44		6.27		9.66		0.000

RESULTS OF OUR STUDY

	GROUP A	GROUP B	GROUP C	GROUP D
Maximum change in heart rate (In %)	45	35.6	13.8	6.4
Maximum change in SBP (In %)	23.6	19.9	6.2	-5.5
Maximum change in DBP (In %)	19.1	18	3.8	0.3

In our study, all the groups in which esmolol was used showed a decrease in mean value of systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure after administration of drug. The reduction is marked in esmolol $150\,mg$ group and less in esmolol $50\,mg$ group.

The maximum change in heart rate was 45% in control group compared to 35.6% in patients receiving esmolol 50 mg, 13.8% in esmolol 100 mg and 6.4% in esmolol 100 mg group.

The maximum change in systolic blood pressure in control group was 23.6% compared to 19.9% in those receiving esmolol 50 mg, 6.2% in esmolol 100 mg group. The systolic blood pressure value was below the baseline throughout the study period with a maximum decrease of $5.5\,\%$ in patients given esmolol $150\,\text{mg}$.

The rise in diastolic blood pressure in control group was 19.1%, compared to 18% in esmolol 50 mg, 3.8% in esmolol 100 mg and 0.3 % in esmolol 150 mg group

Esmolol 50 mg group adequately attenuated the heart rate but the systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were not satisfactorily attenuated compared to esmolol 100 mg group.

In esmolol 100 mg group, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure were adequately attenuated during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

In esmolol 150 mg group, heart rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were adequately attenuated during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. The systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were below the baseline value throughout the study period.

Discussion:

The induction of anaesthesia, laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation are associated with marked haemodynamic changes and autonomic reflex activity which may be a cause of concern in many high risk patients.56

Laryngoscopy and intubation is associated with rise in heart rate, blood pressure and incidence of cardiac arrhythmias There is a potential for life threatening complications due to these changes in patients with coronary artery disease, systemic arterial hypertension, leading to myocardial ischaemia, heart failure and cerebrovascular catastrophies.

Although these responses of blood pressure and heart rate are transient and short lived, they may prove to be detrimental in high risk patients especially in those with cardiovascular disease.

Many factors influence the cardiovascular changes associated with laryngoscopy and intubation age, drugs, type and duration of procedure, depth of anaesthesia, hypoxia, hypercarbia influence the pressure response 26, 33, 61.

Attenuation of sympathetic response during laryngoscopy and intubation is of prime concern to the anaesthesiologist more so in high risk patients.

CONCLUSION:

In our study, all the groups in which esmolol was used showed a decrease in heart rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure after administration of drug. The reduction is marked in esmolol $150\,\mathrm{mg}$ group and less in esmolol $50\,\mathrm{mg}$ group.

Esmolol 50 mg group adequately attenuated the heart rate, but the systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were not satisfactorily attenuated.

In esmolol $100\,\mathrm{mg}$ group, heart rate, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were adequately attenuated during laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. In esmolol $150\,\mathrm{mg}$ group,

adequately attenuates the pressor response for laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation, but the systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure were below the baseline value throughout the study period.

So it is concluded that esmolol 100 mg is near an ideal drug for attenuation of pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

Mere thanks in few words would be highly inadequate to express my thanks to my professor and HOD Dr.P.R. Jaaje, MBBS, MD, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bangalore for preparing us to complete this task.

We are highly indebted to our Principal Dr.Vasudev, MBBS, MD and our Chairman Mr.Dayanand, Sapthagiri Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Bangalore for his valuable guidance and constant encouragement and funding this project.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] King BD, Harris LC, Greifenstein FE, Dripps RD. Reflex circulatory responses to direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation performed during general anaesthesia. Anaesthesiology .1951; 12: 556-561.
- [2] Bachofen M. Suppression of blood pressure increases during intubation : Lidocaine or fentanyl? Anesthesist 1988; 37(3):156-61.
- [3] Prys Roberts C, Greene LT, Melocher, Foex P. Studies of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension II haemodynamic consequences of induction and endotracheal intubation. Br J Anaesth 1971; 43:531-46.
- [4] Richard Gorezynski J. Basic pharmacology of esmolol. Am J Cardiol. 1985; 56:3F-13F.
- [5] Greenspan AM, Scott R, Spielman, Leonard NH, Sheila S, Steck J, Senior CRM, et al. Electrophysiology of esmolol. Am J Cardiol 1985;56: 19F-26F.
- [6] Wiest DB. Esmolol a review of it's therapeutic efficacy and pharmacokinetic characteristics. Clin Pharmacokinetics. 1995; 28(3): 190-202.
- [7] Anthony LS, James H, Edward H, Edward LC.Pharmacokinetics and pharmacokinetics of esmolol administered as an intravenous bolus. Clin Pharmacol Ther.1987;41:216-19.
- [8] Sharma S, Mitra S, Kumar V, Kalra R. Esmolol blunts the haemodynamic response to tracheal intubation in treated hypertensive patients. Can J Anaesth 1996; 43(8): 778-82.
- [9] Venkatesha SL, Grover GD, Pandav SS. Esmolol partially attenuates intraocular pressure response to suxamethonium and tracheal intubation. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol. 2002; 18(1): 63-67.